

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/033,571	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZHANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 1648	

**All Participants:**

(1) BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL.

(2) Marshall P. Byrd.

**Date of Interview:** 14 October 2008

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Newly identified Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejections*

Claims discussed:

*All pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US Patents 6,727,907 and 7,125,706*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner discussed newly identified ODP rejections over previously patented products that are commensurate in scope with the product produced by the instant invention since no restriction was made between such a product and methods of making the product.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

//BENJAMIN P BLUMEL//  
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

